#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M127593 Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: 03/31/11 Project: Metro Self Monitor PO M127593

Date Extracted: 04/01/11 Lab ID: 103430-01 x10
Date Analyzed: 04/01/11 Data File: 103430-01 x10.089

Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1
Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 90 60 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 272

 Nickel
 241

 Copper
 199

 Zinc
 <10</td>

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:

Date Analyzed:

Method Blank Not Applicable

03/31/11 04/01/11

Matrix: Units: Water ug/L (ppb)

od Blank Clie

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: Metro Self Monitor PO M127593

Lab ID: I1-222 mb
Data File: I1-222 mb.

Data File: I1-222 mb.008 Instrument: ICPMS1

Operator:

AP

Internal Standard: Germanium % Recovery: 99

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 <1</td>

 Nickel
 <1</td>

 Copper
 <1</td>

 Zinc
 <1</td>

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 04/06/11 Date Received: 03/31/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor PO M127593, F&BI 103430

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 103380-01 (Matrix Spike)

AP	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)		
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	101	99	67-132	2		
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	98	92	73-119	6		
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.91	96	95	50-144	1		
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	5.35	98	101	46-148	3		

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent									
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance Criteria						
AP	Units	Level	LCS							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	66-135						
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	95	67-134						
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	93	66-134						
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	57-135						

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\operatorname{pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

	1400	oon)		SAMPLERS	signature)			_	_	a .		7	7	Page #		4
Company ALADRAN CORTER Likets  Address 678 S. Honoral ST				metia str				w		0# .755	7	TURNAROUND TIME    Standard (2 Washe)   AUSH   Ce.     Rush charges restarted by:				
State, 71P Section 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19				REMARKS		e				<del></del>				SAMP spece of tern see I call w	ler 30 di mples	Mys
And the second second			·	<del></del>		i.	_		ANI	LY		QUI	STED			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TP E-Garachane	BTEX by Secil	SVOCe by 8878	HFS	Or CL NO				ī.	Notes
M 127593.	01	3/11	11:00	HO							Z			$\downarrow \downarrow$	_,	
							1	1	Ц			1		11		
			<b> </b>			$\vdash \vdash$	+	1	$\perp$		4	-	_	$\dashv$		
	-	-				$\vdash$	+	+	+	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	+		$+\dagger$		
	1	1				+	1	+			+	+	+			
(	<del> </del>					$\vdash$	+	+	$\vdash$		+	+		${\mathbb H}$		
						Ħ	1	1	T			+	_	11	-	
nas i tio - dante - sassin-	· -						1	1				$\forall$		$\Box$		
	. /						1									
indinan & Briga, Inc 12 16th Avenue West				PRINT NAME				$\exists$	COMPANY				3/5	ATE	TIM TSST	
maile, WA 98119-2029	Relinquished by:							F+BI					n i	11		
L. (206) 255-8262																
ne (30c) 243-5044	Received by:	2 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1	***************************************	1					1				Π¥			

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

April 6, 2011

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 31, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor PO M127593, F&BI 103430 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0406R.DOC